

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/521,378	01/18/2005	Frank Haase	•	2319
7590 01/13/2099 Jennifer D Adamson Shell Oil Company			EXAMINER PRICE, CARL D	
Houston, TX 7	77252-2463		3749	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. Applicant(s)		
10/521,378	HAASE, FR AN K	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carl D. Price	3749	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FIRMAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 or (70).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions fe under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely flew may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sino Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(c) I may are not deemed to place the application in better norm not appear by materiary feuticing or simplying the issues for appear; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): " of the proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). To proproses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) eljected to:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\subseteq The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\subseteq \text{ See Continuation Sheet.} \)
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:
/Carl D. Price/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3749

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's argument that the examiner has not established that operation of a compression ignition engine involves obtaining a droplet mixture comprising droplets of liquid Fischer. Tropcsh derived hydrocarbon fuel and "subjecting the droplet ture to a cool flame under evaporation" conditions effective to produce an evaporated gaseous mixture comprising oxygen and hydrocarbons in the manner set forth in the claims. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show novisousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981), In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091; 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

With regard to US004764266 (Chen et al) and in response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.24 1071, 5 USPC021 (Fed. Cir. 1989) and In re Jones, 988 F.24 347, 21 USPC024 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, In regard to claims 1, 2 and 4-28, for the purpose for providing a suitable clean and environmentally friendly alternative fuel for the US004054407 (Carruba et al) heating systems, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to operate heating system burners with Fischer-Tropsch (ten having additives and low aromatic and sulfur content and a density similar to that of home heating fuels (i.e. - between 0.65 and 0.8 g/cm3 at 15 C), in view of the teaching of the Suppes et al or US004764266 (Chen et al.). For the purpose of providing a suitable burner for combusting the room temperature liquid tuel, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to evaporate liquid hydrocarbon droplets to obtaining a gaseous mixture and thereafter combust the mixture in a porous catalyst, which inherently protections an enordynamically stabilized radiant flame, in view of the teaching of US03810732 (Koch), US 362057 (Robinson) or DE19860308 (KOEHNE et al.). And, with regard to the temperature at which "tocal flame" liquid fuel exportation is known to take place the examiner's an an enordynamical or regard to the temperature at which "tocal flame" liquid fuel exportation is known to take place the examiner's and not notes that:

- The liquid fuel evaporation stage operating temperatures of US 3620657 (Robinson) are 700- 800 F which is within applicant's claimed 300 - 480 degrees C (572 - 896 degrees F)).

- "The liquid fuel evaporation operating temperature of DE19860308 (KOEHNE et al) is 520 - 880 K (246 - 546 C or 476 - 1016 F) which is within applicant's claimed 300 - 480 degrees C (572 - 896 degrees F))".